Charter Standard	Indicators	Standard Achieved	Evidence
1. The community/communities impacted upon by a proposal or action have the right to be involved	 Need for a decision is clear The decision-making process is transparent Impact assessment or method clearly identifies affected residents and stakeholders 	 Successful engagement of identified communities including those most marginalised. A regular, robust monitoring and review process with residents at the heart of the process 	 A co-produced decision-making framework developed which is binding. Development stages published Challenges, counter plans and decisions discussed and recorded in a publicly accessible format
2. A guarantee that the public's contributions will noticeably influence decisions.	 The level of engagement has been endorsed by joint panel of residents and Cllrs. The level of influence clearly set out and this result shared with affected parties. 	A high level of involvement by those most affected by the proposed decision.	 Agreed level of participation attained set against the agreed "I.C.I." of participation. Clear statement of aspirations for the engagement process.
3. Public participation drives more sustainable outcomes	 Resident and other stakeholders' values and interests are understood. Template of agreed levels of participation and engagement identified/created Dynamic assessment of community needs integral to decision making 	 Barriers to participation identified and agreed. Strategies employed to overcome them. 	 Benchmarking with other Councils evidence high performance. Upskilling of all services to ensure community engagement is integral not peripheral to performance guidelines. Residents and other stakeholders regularly report positive engagement.

4. The role of participation is to manifestly seek input from those most impacted by a decision.	 Participation enables contribution A completed stakeholder analysis If appropriate and agreed an impact assessment completed. 	 The Council actively seeks out participation from those most alienated and marginalised Residents and other stakeholders input sought to determine engagement processes. Council provides requisite support and resources to affect a meaningful process. 	Impediments to agreed levels of participation identified and removed.
5. The council fully investigate how the community wishes to engage when tackling key decisions.	 Active dialogue between Councillors and their communities on the most suitable form of engagement using an agreed format. 	 Council has enabled the community to exercise the fullest engagement possible to determine the most effective participation achievable. 	 Participation is demonstrable, and evident in the project outcomes.
6. The participation process provides the fullest information available to ensure participants are well informed.	 Information is tailored to an audience. Avoiding jargon and crystal clear. Information is balanced and not tipped toward a predetermined conclusion 	 Objective, expert and independent information is available to all participants. Information is available in a timely and equitable manner. 	 The range of materials, quality and format available in a timely manner prior to commencement of participation ensures the participants are as best prepared as possible.
7. The Council publishes how residents and stakeholders have affected decisions.	 Clearly demonstrate how public participation influence the processes 	 There is a clear feedback process which is timely, not rushed and enables discussions of implications and future steps, where facilities are provided ensuring maximum diversity of participants 	 Guarantee of feedback analysis which is accessible to all. Participants agree feedback process. Feedback takes place.